ŏ

••

Hollow fiber membrane fabrication

Dr. Miren Etxeberria Benavides and Dr. Oana David

tecnal:a

MEMBER OF BASQUE RESEARCH

& TECHNOLOGY ALLIANCE

Membrane Technology and Process Intensification







& TECHNOLOGY ALLIANCE

MEMBRANE TECHNOLOGY

| | • | • | • | • | • | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| • | • | ÷ | | | • | • | • | • |

| | | | • | • | | • | • | • | • |
|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | •• | • | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| • | • | • | | | | • | • | • | • |
| • | • | • | | | | | • | • | • |
| • | • | • | | | | • | • | • | • |
| | | | | | | • | • | • | |



MEMBRANE SEPARATION

- No require a gas-liquid phase change
- \circ Smaller separation units \rightarrow small footprint
- Lack of mechanical complexity

. .

Operate under continuous, steady-state conditions





MEMEBRANE STRUCTURE AND GEOMETRY



Commercial membranes



5



MEMEBRANE STRUCTURE AND GEOMETRY

| | | | | • | • | | • | • | • | • |
|---|---|----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | •• | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| • | • | - | | | | | • | • | • | • |
| • | • | • | | | | | | • | • | • |
| • | • | • | | | | | • | • | • | • |
| | | | | | | | • | • | • | |

Monolithic and asymmetric hollow fiber membrane



 $J_i = \frac{P_i \cdot \Delta p_i}{l}$

Highly porous support





HOLLOW FIBER MEMBRANES -Geometry











HOLLOW FIBER MEMBRANES -Geometry

Membrane packing density inside the permeation module = 50 %



Advantages of HF

- High packing density (over 10000 $\,m^2/m^3),\,10$ times higher than plate and frame modules

· · · · · 10



HOLLOW FIBER PREPARATION METHODS -spinning

Single step process: simultaneous formation of the





Process parameters:

Dope and bore composition and flow rate Spinneret and coagulation bath temperature Air gap height and atmosphere Take up-rate Room temperature and humidity



HOLLOW FIBER PREPARATION METHODS -spinning

tecnal:a





Forming the selective layer at the inside part of the fiber:



12



MEMBRANE DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY

| | | | • | • | | • | • | • | • |
|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | •• | • | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| • | • | • | | | | • | • | • | • |
| • | • | • | | | | | • | • | • |
| • | • | • | | | | • | • | • | • |
| | | | | | | • | • | • | |
| | | | | | | | | | |





MEMBER OF BASQUE RESEARCH & TECHNOLOGY ALLIANCE

.

.

...

| •• | | | • | • |
|-----|-----|-----|---|---|
| BEN | CHN | IAR | K | • |

Polymeric materials used

| · · · · · · · · · |
|-------------------|
| •••• |
| |
| |

| | | | ÷., | ÷., | | ÷., | ÷., | ÷., | |
|---|---|----|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|---|
| | | •• | • | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| • | • | • | | | | • | • | • | • |
| • | • | • | | | | | • | • | • |
| | | | | | | | • | • | • |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Polyaramide |
|-----------------------|
| Polysulfone |
| Poly(phenilene oxide) |
| Cellulose acetate |
| Polyimides: |
| P84 |
| PBI |
| 6FDA-DAM |
| PI-Extem |



•



Bio-based copolymers for membrane end products for gas separations

Bio-Based HF membranes



MEMBER OF BASQUE RESEARC & TECHNOLOGY ALLIANCE



Material development and/or selection



PEBA type Polymers



Arkema

Didden, Jeroen; Thür, Raymond; Volodin, Alexander; Vankelecom, Ivo F. J. (2018), Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 46433

Yave, W., A. Car, and K.-V. Peinemann, J. Membr. Sci. 2010, 350: p. 124-129 (2010)



& TECHNOLOGY ALLIANCE

Material development and/or selection



| Polymor | P (Barrer) | /ity | Pof | | |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------|--------------|
| Polymen | CO2 | CO_2/N_2 | CO ₂ /CH ₄ | CO_2/H_2 | Nei. |
| Pebax 1657 PEO with PA6 | 98 | 53,2 | 16,1 | 9,5 | 1 |
| PEBAX 2533 PTMO with PA12 | 234 -351 | 25 - 41 | | | 2 |
| PEBAX 1074 PEO with PA12 | 134,74 | 59,61 | 16,16 | 10,28 | 2 |
| Bio PEBAX PEO with PA11 | 311,41 | 45 | 14,07 | 9,35 | Bioco mem |





[1] S.R. Reijerkerk et al. / Journal of Membrane Science 352 (2010) 126–135

[2] H. Lin, B.D. Freeman, Gas solubility, diffusivity and permeability in poly(ethylene oxide), J. Membr. Sci. 239 (1) (2004) 105–117



| Co-polymer | Polyamide block | Polyether block | Main expected result |
|---|--|--|---|
| A Reference bio-PEBAs | Bio-based polyamide I I derived from castor oil (PA _{ref} ^{bio}) | Fossil based polyether block (PE _{ref} ^{fossil}) | Composite HF Membrane |
| B New bio-PEBAs Pathway I aromatic/cycloaliphatic polyamide-b-polyether | Bio-based polyamides derived from new building blocks (<i>PA_{new}^{bio}</i>) | Fossil based polyether block (PE _{ref} ^{fossil}) | Better processability: (Monolithic HF membrane) <i>and</i> Higher gas separation performance |
| C New bio-PEBAs Pathway 2 lignin-g-(polyether-b- polyamide 11) | Bio-based polyamide I I derived from castor oil (PA _{ref} ^{bio}) | Bio-based polyether block derived from lignin- g-polyether (PE _{new} ^{bio}) | Better processability: (Monolithic HF membrane) and Development of PEBA type co-polymer with bio-based components in both blocks |



| | Concentration wt% | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------|--|--|
| | SOL 01 | SOL 02 | SOL 03 | SOL 04 | SOL 05 | SOL 06 | SOL 07 | SOL COMPL. | | |
| 35 B6 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | | |
| NMP | 80 | 78 | 76 | 70 | 74 | 68 | 66 | 64 | | |
| LiCI | | 2 | | | 2 | 2 | | 2 | | |
| PVP K30 | | | 4 | | 4 | | 4 | 4 | | |
| THF | | | | 10 | | 10 | 10 | 10 | | |



Conclusions:

1. THF is better solvent than NMP (SOL 01 vs SOL 04).

2. Addition of PVP does not form a homogeneous blend (SOL 03, SOL 05, SOL 07 and SOL COMPL), therefore is not a viable approach.

3. At Polymer/LiCl=10, adding THF induces lower gel formation speed at RT (~2 h for SOL 02 vs ~8 h for SOL 06).

4. Gel formation could not be prevented at room temperature. Therefore, the solution should be kept at minimum 40 °C within the spinning vessels and lines.

5. A good dope composition could be SOL 06 and SOL 04.

6. Spinning with SOL 02 instead of SOL 06 will determine a higher contribution of crystallization phenomena to phase inversion phenomena during the coagulation of the fibers.



Polymer spinning





| Polymer dope composition: | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 035 B5 | 20 and 23 wt% | | | | | | |
| LiCl | 3.67 wt% | | | | | | |
| NMP | 73.33 wt% | | | | | | |
| Cel at RT liqu | id at 10 °C | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | Pump temperature (°C) | Spinneret temperature (°C) | Bore liquid composition H ₂ O/NMP wt% | Air gap (cm) | Air gap environment | Hollow fiber? |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---|-----------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Ö | 50 | 50 | 100/0 | 26 | 78% RH | |
| C | 50 | 50 | 30/70 | 5 - 20 | N ₂ | × |
| C | 50 | 21 | 50/50 | 5, 11 | N ₂ | |















Polymer scale - up

| | PA | <i>Т_g</i> [°С] | <i>Τ_m</i> [°C] ΡΕΟ/ΡΑ | CO ₂ permeability | CO ₂ /N ₂ Selectivity | CO ₂ /CH ₄ Selectivity | CO ₂ /H ₂ Selectivity |
|--------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | | | rlojra | (Barrer) | | | |
| | Prototype A | <-50 | 25/160 | 311,41 | 45 | 14,07 | 9,35 |
| | MS-2021-035 | <-40 | 16 / 80 | 228,8 | 27,5 | 9,2 | |
| 35 °c and 3 bar(a) ∆p - | Prototype B <i>(scaled-up)</i> 2021- 1449TLT500 | n.d | 13/77 | 353,99 | 28,83 | 8,99 | 5,48 |
| | Prototype B <i>(scaled-up)</i> 2021- 1449TLT502 | n.d | 20/94 | 342,77 | 30,13 | 9,25 | 5,37 |
| 30 °C, 300 mbar | Polyactive (1500PEO77PBT23) | -49 | 27/110 | 115 | 45,6 | | n.d. |

Objective for HF membrane: PCO2= 1000 GPU $\alpha_{CO2/N2}$ = 30





Gas permeation Properties: 2021-1449TLT500





& TECHNOLOGY ALLIANCE

Solubility study

Biô Co Mem







- All solutions are liquid at 40 °C
- All solutions form a gel at room temperature.
- At RT, gel formation is 3 h for TLT 502 and takes longer time for TLT 502
- Gel formation is faster at lower concentrations (see below)



Polymer spinning



$28 \ \text{wt\%}$ TLT $502 \ ; \ 14 \ \text{wt\%}$ THF; 2,8 wt% LiCl in NMP

Qdope/Qbore=180/90



- bore liquid composition H2O/NMP=90/10 wt%,
- spinning temperature: 30 °C,
- air gap height = 50, humidity in the air gap,
- take up rate = 8 m/min.

26 wt% TLT 502; 1.3 wt% LiCl in NMP



- bore liquid composition H2O/NMP=95/5 wt%,
- take up rate = 8 m/min.

29



Gas permeation











& TECHNOLOGY ALLIANCE

Dual layer Hollow fiber spinning



31



| ΡΑ | τ [°C] | <i>T_m</i> [°C] | CO ₂ | CO ₂ /N ₂ | CO ₂ /CH ₄ Selectivity | CO ₂ /H ₂ |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| structure | ν _g [C] | PEO/PA | y (Barrer) | Selectivity | | Selectivity |
| Prototype A | <-50 | 25/160 | 311,41 | 45 | 14,07 | 9,35 |
| MS-2021-035 | <-40 | 16/80 | 228,8 | 27,5 | 9,2 | |
| Prototype B <i>(scaled-up)</i> 2021- 1449TLT500 | n.d | 13/77 | 353,99 | 28,83 | 8,99 | 5,48 |
| Prototype B (<i>scaled-up</i>) 2021- 1449TLT502 | n.d | 20/94 | 342,77 | 30,13 | 9,25 | 5,37 |
| Prototype B <i>(scaled-up)</i> 2021- 1449TLT549 | | | 395,88 | 36,13 | 10,9 | 7,46 |
| Prototype B <i>(scaled-up)</i> 2021- 1449TLT550 | | | 106 | 21,02 | 7,16 | 2,81 |
| Polyactive (1500PEO77PBT23) | -49 | 27/110 | 115 | 45,6 | | n.d. |

Objective for dual layer fiber approach: PCO2= 400 GPU $\alpha_{CO2/N2} = 30$



Dual layer Hollow fiber spinning

| Bi🕸 |
|-----|
| Co |
| Mem |

| TLT 550 | 20,00% | TLT 549 | 22,0% |
|----------|--------|----------------|-------|
| NMP | 72,90% | NMP | 76,4% |
| LiCl | 1,10% | LiCl | 1,00% |
| PEG 1500 | 6,00% | H2O | 0,5% |

Spinning parameters:

Outer dope flow rate = 160 mL/min Inner dope flow rate = 20 mL/min Bore liquid = 80/20 H2O/NMP Spinneret Temperature = **50 °C**, **40 °C for exp 2** Air gap = chimney in place when air gap of 10, No N2 flow Freeze drying

| Quench Bath Temp | | Air gap height | Take up rate | |
|------------------------|------|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| | (ºC) | (cm) | (m/min) | |
| ST1 | 22 | 10 | 5 | |
| ST3 | 21,5 | 1,5 | 5 | |
| ST4 | 21,5 | 1,5 | 10 | |
| ST5 | 39 | 10 | 5 | |
| ST6 | 38,8 | 1,5 | 8 | |





Dual layer Hollow fiber spinning









· · · · · · · ••

.



08 40 SEI

10µm

X1,000

10

ST1



ST2





ST3





5µm

08 40 SEI

10kV

X5,000











Dual layer Hollow fiber spinning

Mechanical properties: elongation



| | | | 0 | |
|-----|-------------------------|-------|------|-----|
| | Ultimate strength (Mpa) | ± | (%) | ± |
| ST1 | 7,38 | 0,173 | 874% | 27% |
| ST3 | 7,19 | 0,226 | 791% | 10% |
| ST4 | 11,44 | 0,182 | 584% | 9% |
| ST5 | 4,92 | 0,114 | 664% | 5% |
| ST6 | 9,46 | 0,444 | 627% | 12% |
| | | | | |

Elongation at brake

| Membranes (Materials) | Young's Modulus (MPa) | Elongation at Break (%) | Ultimate Strength (MPa) | Porosity (%) |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| U305 (Ultem [@] 1000 (PEI)) | 132 | 44 | 58.5 | 55.9 |
| M264 (Matrimid [@] 5218 (PI)) | 121 | 29 | 54.8 | 58.4 |
| PES28 (Ultrason E6020P (PES)) | 72 | 85 | 5.2 | 46.1 |

36

tecnal:a



Conclusions

More optimization:

- Increase surface porosity

. .

- Eliminate the macrovoids
- Densify the inner layer Scale up: successful









MEMBER OF BASQUE RESEARCH & TECHNOLOGY ALLIANCE

Eskerrik asko zuen arretagatik!

.

0

ŏ

Thank you for your attention!

....

8

••

8



tecnal:a

Literature background: Procedure for casting integral asymmetric PVDF pervaporation hollow fiber



K. Jian, P.N. Pintauro, Asymmetric PVDF hollow-fiber membranes for organic/water pervaporation separations, Journal of Membrane Science, Volume 135, Issue 1, 1997, Pages 41-53